

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKE		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/768,931		01/24/2001	Satoshi Kanayama	55551-CIP(1360)	3848	
21874	7590	08/25/2003				
		GELL, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 9 BOSTON, I		9	BUTTNER, DAVID J			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1712		
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					9 `		
			Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	*	,	09/768,931	ŀ	KANAYAMA ET AL.		
·	•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
			David Buttner		1712		
Perio		The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the cor	respondence address		
T - - - -	Exter after If the If NO Failu Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ver, may a reply be timely imum of thirty (30) days w SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)		Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	<i>June 2003</i> .				
2a)		This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.			
,) Dsit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims					
-	_	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-28 is/are pending in the a	application.				
,		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation.			
5)		Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)		Claim(s) 1-6,8-28 is/are rejected.					
7)		Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)		Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment.			
Appli	cat	ion Papers					
9)		The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.				
10)		The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exami	ner.		
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)		The proposed drawing correction filed on			ed by the Examiner.		
		If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	, ,	ion.			
•		The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
		under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).		
	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
		1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
		2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been rece	ived in Application	1 No		
	* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
14)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).		
15)) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •				
Attach	men	t(s)					
2) 🔲 1	Votic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/768,931

Art Unit: 1712

The PCT priority document has not been received.

Claims 1-6 and 8-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 depends on a cancelled claim.

Applicant's remarks indicate claim 1's description of (b) is limited to aliphatic diols, aromatic diols and ethylene oxide adducts of aromatic diols. The claim language does not clearly make such a limitation (b) "comprises" two diacids and at least one diol selected from aliphatic/aromatic/EO adducts of aromatic diols. This merely positively requires one of the subsequently named types of diols to be present. It does not prohibit other types of diols to be present. Note that applicant's specification (page 10, line 21) calls for other diols such as cyclohexane dimethanol. Applicant should use language such as "all the diols present are selected from..." instead of "the at least one diol moiety consisting of at least one kind of diol moiety".

Claims 1-6, 8-13, 20, 22, 23 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Harada Patent.

The Harada blends copolyester with polycarbonate in a 2/98 to 95/5 ratio (column 8, line 4). The polyester has 30-90% of its acid being naphthalene dicarboxylic acid (column 3, line 30). Example 88 and comparison 25 are particularly relevant.

Stabilizers (column 5, lines 16-17) can be present.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,931

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-6, 8-15, 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Harada Patent in view of Allen and Hirose.

Harada does not suggest sterilizing his blend or adding radiation stabilizers.

Allen teaches (column 2, line 26) blends of PC and copolyester can be sterilized by radiation. Also note, Allen suggests naphthalene dicarboxylic acid units (column 4, line 41) in the copolyester.

Hirose teaches a certain radiation stabilizer for PC/polyester blends (col 7 line 15-20). Furthermore, Hirose teaches other stabilizers such as phosphates (column 6, line 5), triazines, phenols (column 7, line 31) and ester lubricants (column 7, line 30) are appropriate inclusions.

It would have been obvious to include any common additive to Harada's blend for the expected advantages and irradiate the final product for sterilization.

Claims 1-6, 8-19 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Harada Patent in view of Mizutani.

Harada does not suggest lubricants/release agents for his PC composition.

Mizutani lists many release agents known for use with PC (column 5, lines 1-21). Use of any of these fatty acid esters and/or fatty alcohol esters would have been prima facie obvious.

Mitzutani also teaches PC is radiation sterilizable with the inclusion of polyester radiation stabilizers and phosphates (column 5, line 22).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,931

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant's arguments filed June 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Harada requires an alicyclic diol.

This is true, but as explained above, applicant's claims do not prohibit alicyclic diols from being present.

Application 9-744285 is abandoned and therefore obviousness double patenting is no longer warranted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. Buttner/dh August 21, 2003 David Bather